

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

U	Inited	States of America,		Case No. 4:24-CR-00595 YGR
	٨	Plaintiff, v.))	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
archa		Defendant(s).)	DEC 10 2024
				CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 2-10-24, the court excludes time under the Speed of CALIFORNIA and finds that the ends of justice served by the he defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § his continuance on the following factor(s):
_		Failure to grant a continua See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7	nce would be lik)(B)(i).	kely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
_		defendants, the natu	ire of the prosect	to [check applicable reasons] the number of cution, or the existence of novel questions of fact equate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
-	<u> </u>	Failure to grant a continua taking into account the exc	nce would deny ercise of due dili	the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, igence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
,_		Failure to grant a continual counsel's other scheduled See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7	case commitmen	asonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ents, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
4	<u>X_</u>	Failure to grant a continuanecessary for effective pre See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7	eparation, taking	asonably deny the defendant the reasonable time into account the exercise of due diligence.
		disposition of criminal case paragraph and — based on the time limits for a preliment extending the 30-day time	ses, the court set in the parties' sho ninary hearing u period for an in	cing into account the public interest in the prompt ts the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first owing of good cause — finds good cause for extending under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for addictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
I	T IS	SO ORDERED.		La pi hat
Ι	DATE	ED: 12/10/2024		Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge
5	STIPU	JLATED: Attorney for De	fendant	Saulay Slinh Assistant Upited States Attorney
94		TIME TO THE	A WAL WOULL !	The state of the s